

CSC 270
Nov. 22, 2005
Last Day of Scheme

Dr. Stephen Bloch
sbloch@adelphi.edu
<http://www.adelphi.edu/sbloch/class/270/>

Review

- A "list" is either empty or not.
- If it's empty, it contains no information.
- If it's non-empty, it has a "first" element (some data type) and a "rest" (another list).

Review: built-in functions on lists

- `empty?` : object -> boolean
- `cons?` : object -> boolean
- `cons` : object list -> non-empty-list
- `first` : non-empty-list -> object
- `rest` : non-empty-list -> list

Review: building lists

- `empty`
- `(cons "a" empty)`
- `(cons "b" (cons "a" empty))`
- `(define mylist (cons "b" (cons "a" empty)))`
- `(cons "e" (cons "d" (cons "c" mylist)))`

Review: examining lists

- `(first (cons "a" empty))` "should be a"
- `(rest (cons "a" empty))` "should be empty"
- `(first (rest (rest (cons "a" (cons "b" (cons "c" (cons "d" empty)))))))` "should be c"

Review: writing functions on lists

; how-long : list -> number

```
(define (how-long L)
  (cond [(empty? L) 0]
        [(cons? L) (+ 1 (how-long (rest L)))]))
```

"Examples of how-long:"

(how-long empty) "should be 0"

(how-long (cons 73 empty)) "should be 1"

(how-long (cons "a" (cons "b" empty)))
"should be 2"

Exercise: writing a function on lists

; add-up : list-of-numbers -> number

```
(define (add-up nums)
  (cond [(empty? nums) 0]
        [(cons? nums)
         (+ (first nums) (add-up (rest nums)))]))
```

"Examples of add-up:"

(add-up empty) "should be 0"

(add-up (cons 4 empty)) "should be 4"

(add-up (cons 3 (cons 2 empty))) "should be 5"

Shorter notation

Note: **change languages to Beginning Student with List Abbreviations** (or higher)

; list : as many objects as you wish -> list

(list "a" "b" "c") is short for (cons "a" (cons "b" (cons "c" empty)))

(list) is equivalent to empty

The functions **empty?**, **cons?**, **cons**, **first**, **rest**, **how-long**, and **add-up** work *exactly as before*; this is just a shorter way of *displaying* a list

Warning:

(list "a" empty) is *not* the same thing as (cons "a" empty)!

Another function on lists

```
; remove>10 : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

```
(define (remove>10 nums)
```

```
  (cond [(empty? nums) empty]
```

```
        [(cons? nums)
```

```
          (cond [(> (first nums) 10) (remove>10 (rest nums))]
```

```
                [else (cons (first nums) (remove>10 (rest nums)))]))])
```

"Examples of remove>10:"

```
(remove>10 empty) "should be" empty
```

```
(remove>10 (list 6)) "should be" (list 6)
```

```
(remove>10 (list 11)) "should be" empty
```

```
(remove>10 (list 6 11 10 -24 13 9)) "should be" (list 6 10 -24 9)
```

```
(remove>10 (list 11 10 -24 13 9)) "should be" (list 10 -24 9)
```

Generalizing the function

```
; remove>5 : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

```
; remove>17: list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

What these have in common is that they **remove all elements of the list greater than a fixed threshold.**

So we **generalize** the function:

```
; remove-over: num list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

```
(define (remove-over threshold nums)
```

```
  (cond [(empty? nums) empty]
```

```
        [(cons? nums)
```

```
          (cond [(> (first nums)threshold) (remove-over threshold (rest nums))]
```

```
                [else (cons (first nums) (remove-over threshold (rest nums)))])))]))
```

"Examples of remove-over:"

```
(remove-over 6 empty) "should be" empty
```

...

```
(remove-over 3.5 (list 4 9 17 2 6 3)) "should be" (list 2 3)
```

Generalizing the function *farther*

```
; remove<5 : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums  
; remove>=4: list-of-nums -> list-of-nums  
; remove-evens : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

What all of these have in common is that they **perform a test on each element of the list, and remove the ones that pass the test.**

Generalization:

```
; remove-if : test list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

Q: What is a "test"?

A: a property that every number either has or doesn't have

A: a function from number to boolean

Note: **change languages to Intermediate Student**

Writing **remove-if**

```
; remove-if : (num -> boolean) list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

```
(define (remove-if test? nums)
```

```
...
```

```
)
```

```
"Examples of remove-if:"
```

```
(remove-if even? (list 1 2 3 4 5)) "should be" (list 1 3 5)
```

```
(define (over-10? x) (> x 10))
```

```
(remove-if over-10? (list 3 12 10 5 16 -24 6)) "should be" (list 3 10 5 -24 6)
```

```
(define (under-5? x) (< x 5))
```

```
(remove-if under-5? (list 3 12 10 5 16 -24 6)) "should be" (list 12 10 5 16 6)
```

The routine stuff

```
; remove-if : (num -> boolean) list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
(define (remove-if test? nums)
  (cond [(empty? nums) empty]
        [(cons? nums)
         (cond [...
                (remove-if test? (rest nums))]
               [else
                (cons (first nums) (remove-if test? (rest nums))))])]))
```

"Examples of remove-if:"

```
(remove-if even? (list 1 2 3 4 5)) "should be" (list 1 3 5)
```

```
(define (over-10? x) (> x 10))
```

```
(remove-if over-10? (list 3 12 10 5 16 -24 6)) "should be" (list 3 10 5 -24 6)
```

```
(define (under-5? x) (< x 5))
```

```
(remove-if under-5? (list 3 12 10 5 16 -24 6)) "should be" (list 12 10 5 16 6)
```

Using the test

```
; remove-if : (num -> boolean) list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
(define (remove-if test? nums)
  (cond [(empty? nums) empty]
        [(cons? nums)
         (cond [(test? (first nums))
                (remove-if test? (rest nums))]
               [else
                (cons (first nums) (remove-if test? (rest nums)))]))]])
```

"Examples of remove-if:"

```
(remove-if even? (list 1 2 3 4 5)) "should be" (list 1 3 5)
```

```
(define (over-10? x) (> x 10))
```

```
(remove-if over-10? (list 3 12 10 5 16 -24 6)) "should be" (list 3 10 5 -24 6)
```

```
(define (under-5? x) (< x 5))
```

```
(remove-if under-5? (list 3 12 10 5 16 -24 6)) "should be" (list 12 10 5 16 6)
```

Writing functions using remove-if

```
; remove<5 : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums  
(define (under-5? x) (< x 5))  
(define (remove<5 nums) (remove-if under-5? nums))
```

```
; remove>=7: list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
```

You try this one.

```
; remove-evens : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums  
(define (remove-evens nums) (remove-if even? nums))
```

Another example

```
; cube-each : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
(define (cube-each nums)
  (cond [(empty? nums) empty]
        [(cons? nums)
         (cons (cube (first nums))
               (cube-each (rest nums)))]))
```

"Examples of cube-each:"

```
(cube-each empty) "should be" empty
```

```
(cube-each (list 2)) "should be" (list 8)
```

```
(cube-each (list 3 -2 0 5 -6)) "should be"
(list 27 -8 0 125 -216)
```


Similar functions

; sqrt-each : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums

; negate-each : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums

What these have in common is that they **do the same thing to each element of a list, returning a list of the results.**

So we **generalize** the functions:

; do-to-each : operation list-of-nums -> list-of-nums

What's an "operation"? In this case, a function from number to number.

; do-to-each : (num -> num) list-of-nums -> list-of-nums

Writing do-to-each

```
; do-to-each : (num -> num) list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
(define (do-to-each op nums)
  (cond [(empty? nums) empty]
        [(cons? nums)
         (cons (op (first nums))
                (do-to-each op (rest nums))))]))
```

"Examples of do-to-each:"

```
(do-to-each cube (list 3 5 -2)) "should be" (list 27 125 -8)
```

```
(do-to-each sqrt (list 4 25 0)) "should be" (list 2 5 0)
```

```
(do-to-each - (list 3 -2 0 7.5)) "should be" (list -3 2 0 -7.5)
```

Writing functions using do-to-each

; sqrt-each : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums

```
(define (sqrt-each nums)
  (do-to-each sqrt nums))
```

; add-3-to-each : list-of-nums -> list-of-nums

```
(define (add3 x) (+ x 3))
(define (add-3-to-each nums)
  (do-to-each add3 nums))
```

Dumb single-use functions

```
; add-3-to-each : list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
```

```
(define (add3 x) (+ x 3))
```

```
(define (add-3-to-each nums) (do-to-each add3 nums))
```

Better: hide **add3** inside a local definition

```
(define (add-3-to-each nums)
```

```
  (local [(define (add3 x) (+ x 3))]
```

```
    (do-to-each add3 nums)))
```

An example where we *have* to use **local**

```
; remove-over : num list-of-nums -> list-of-nums
(define (remove-over threshold nums)
  (local [(define (over-threshold? num)
            (> num threshold))]
    (remove-if over-threshold? nums)))
```

Note: we *couldn't* have defined **over-threshold?** outside **remove-over**, because it would have depended on the threshold value.

Defining functions without names

`(+ 3 (* 4 5))`

doesn't require defining a variable to hold the value of `(* 4 5)`, and then adding 3 to it!

Why should **add-3-to-each** require defining a function to add 3 to things, and then applying **do-to-each** to it?

Note: **change languages to Intermediate Student with Lambda**

Defining functions without names

New syntax rule:

(lambda (param param ...) expr)

constructs a function without a name and returns it.

Example:

```
(define (add-3-to-each nums)  
  (do-to-each (lambda (x) (+ x 3)) nums))
```

Defining functions without names

- Anything you can do with **lambda** can also be done with **local**; may be more readable because things have names
- Anything you can do with **local** can also be done with **lambda**, often a little shorter

Programs that interact with user

- Our Scheme programs so far are *called* with input, and they *return* an answer.
- Many real-world programs have to hold a *continuing dialogue* with user:
 - user says something
 - program responds
 - user responds to this
 - program responds to that
 - etc.

Programs that interact with user

- Other programs need to produce output *piece by piece*
- (list-primes)
 - 2
 - 3
 - 5
 - 7
 - 11
 - 13
 - user break

Text input & output (in Advanced Student language)

; display : object -> nothing, but prints the
object on the screen

(display 3)

(display (+ 3 4))

(display "hello there")

(display 'blue)

(display (make-posn 3 4))

Text input & output

; display-with-label : string obj -> nothing, but prints the string and the object

"Examples of display-with-label:"

(define my-age 40)

(display-with-label "Age:" my-age)

"should print Age: 40"

Text input & output

; display-with-label : string obj -> nothing, but prints the string and the object

```
(define (display-with-label label obj)
```

```
  (display label)
```

```
  (display obj))
```

<--- problem! 2 expressions!

"Examples of display-with-label:"

```
(define my-age 40)
```

```
(display-with-label "Age:" my-age)
```

"should print Age: 40"

Text input & output

; display-with-label : string obj -> nothing, but prints the string and the object

```
(define (display-with-label label obj)
```

```
  (begin
```

```
    (display label)
```

```
    (display obj)))
```

"Examples of display-with-label:"

```
(define my-age 40)
```

```
(display-with-label "Age:" my-age)
```

"should print Age: 40"

Sequential programming

; begin : expr expr expr ... -> object

; Evaluates each expression, ignoring the results, but returns the result of the last one.

(begin

 (display (+ 3 4))

 (* 5 6))

"should display 7 and then return 30"

; Note: if last expression returns nothing (e.g. display), so does begin.

Also want to get input from user

; read : nothing -> object

; waits for user to type an expression, and
returns it

Try some examples: numbers, strings,
booleans, identifiers

Oddities about "read"

- ; read : nothing -> object
- ; waits for user to type an expression, and returns it
- ; Note: variable names are treated as symbols, not evaluated
- ; Function calls are treated as lists, with the function being the first element
- ; 'x is treated as the function call (quote x)

Changing variable values

```
(define toys empty)
```

```
(cons "ball" toys) "should be" (list "ball")
```

```
toys "is still" empty
```

```
; add-toy : symbol -> nothing, but changes the value of toys
```

```
"Examples of add-toy:"
```

```
(add-toy "ball")
```

```
toys "should be" (list "ball")
```

```
(add-toy "nintendo")
```

```
toys "should be" (list "nintendo" "ball")
```

Changing variable values

- ; set! : variable expression -> nothing, but changes the variable's value to be the expression
- ; Note: only works if the variable is already defined
- ; Convention: name ends in !, indicating that the function *changes* at least one of its arguments

"Examples of set!:"

```
(define toys empty)
```

```
(set! toys (list "ball"))
```

```
toys "should be" (list "ball")
```

```
(set! toys (cons "nintendo" toys))
```

```
toys "should be" (list "nintendo" "ball")
```

Changing variable values

; add-toy : symbol -> nothing, but changes the value of toys

```
(define (add-toy new-toy)  
  (set! toys (cons new-toy toys)))
```

"Examples of add-toy:"

```
(add-toy "ball")
```

```
toys "should be" (list "ball")
```

```
(add-toy "nintendo")
```

```
toys "should be" (list "nintendo" "ball")
```

Now you try it

(define age 18)

; birthday : nothing -> nothing, changes age

"Examples of birthday:"

(birthday)

age "should be" 19

(birthday)

age "should be" 20

My solution

```
(define age 18)
```

```
; birthday : nothing -> nothing, changes age
```

```
(define (birthday)
```

```
  (set! age (+ 1 age)))
```

```
"Examples of birthday:"
```

```
(birthday)
```

```
age "should be" 19
```

```
(birthday)
```

```
age "should be" 20
```

Combining set! and begin

```
(define counter 0)
; count : nothing -> num
; returns 1 more each time you call it
```

"Examples of count:"

```
(count) "should be" 1
```

```
(count) "should be" 2
```

```
(count) "should be" 3
```

Combining set! and begin

```
(define counter 0)
```

```
; count : nothing -> num
```

```
; returns 1 more each time you call it
```

```
(define (count)
```

```
  (begin                ; remember, returns the value of its last expression
```

```
    (set! counter (+ 1 counter))
```

```
    counter))
```

"Examples of count:"

```
(count) "should be" 1
```

```
(count) "should be" 2
```

```
(count) "should be" 3
```


A problem with set!

```
(define-struct person [name age shoe-size])
```

```
(define prof (make-person "Steve" 40 10.5))
```

```
(define me prof)
```

```
(set! me (make-person "Steve" 41 10.5))
```

```
prof "is still 40 years old!"
```

Problem: `set!` changes the *variable*, not the object it refers to.

Modifying a structure

; set-person-age! : person num -> nothing, but
changes the age of the person

```
(define prof (make-person "Steve" 40 10.5))
```

```
(define me prof)
```

```
(set-person-age! me 41)
```

```
prof "is now 41 years old!"
```

Recall constructor, selector, and discriminator functions for a structure type

```
(define-struct person [name age shoe-size])  
; make-person : string num num -> person  
; person-name : person -> string  
; person-age : person -> num  
; person-shoe-size : person -> num  
; person? : object -> boolean
```

There are also *mutator* functions for a structure type

```
(define-struct person [name age shoe-size])  
; make-person : string num num -> person  
; person-name : person -> string  
; person-age : person -> num  
; person-shoe-size : person -> num  
; person? : object -> boolean  
; set-person-name! : person string -> nothing  
; set-person-age! : person num -> nothing  
; set-person-shoe-size! : person num -> nothing
```

Example

```
(define-struct employee [name num salary])  
; give-raise! : emp num -> nothing, but changes the employee's salary by num%  
(define (give-raise! emp percent)  
  ...  
)
```

"Examples of give-raise!:"

```
(define joe (make-employee "Joe" 7 54000))  
(give-raise! joe 10)  
joe "should be" (make-employee "Joe" 7 59400)
```

Example

```
(define-struct employee [name num salary])  
; give-raise! : emp num -> nothing, but changes the employee's salary by num%  
(define (give-raise! emp percent)  
  (set-employee-salary! emp  
    (* (employee-salary emp)  
      (+ 1 (/ percent 100)))))  
"Examples of give-raise!:"  
(define joe (make-employee "Joe" 7 54000))  
(give-raise! joe 10)  
joe "should be" (make-employee "Joe" 7 59400)
```